



**Course:** MAC2312-0002.faz1: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/15 (73.33 %)

**1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	63.64%					4.64	4.11	4.32			
Agree	(4)	4	36.36%										
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/15 (73.33%)	4.64	0.50	5.00	2869			4.11	1.05	4.00	77970	4.32	0.93	5.00

**2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	72.73%					4.73	4.24	4.39			
Agree	(4)	3	27.27%										
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/15 (73.33%)	4.73	0.47	5.00	2851			4.24	0.93	4.00	77534	4.39	0.87	5.00

**3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	63.64%					4.64	4.16	4.28			
Agree	(4)	4	36.36%										
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/15 (73.33%)	4.64	0.50	5.00	2848			4.16	1.03	4.00	77472	4.28	0.97	5.00

**4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	63.64%					4.64	4.23	4.37			
Agree	(4)	4	36.36%										
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/15 (73.33%)	4.64	0.50	5.00	2855			4.23	0.95	4.00	77435	4.37	0.89	5.00



**Course:** MAC2312-0002.faz1: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/15 (73.33 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	8	72.73%		4.73	4.09	4.29				
Agree		(4)	3	27.27%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/15 (73.33%)	4.73	0.47	5.00	2846	4.09	1.08	4.00	77288	4.29	0.97	5.00	

6 - Ignat Soroko provided clear expectations for the course. -												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	9	81.82%		4.82	4.29	4.46				
Agree		(4)	2	18.18%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/15 (73.33%)	4.82	0.40	5.00	2848	4.29	0.96	5.00	80037	4.46	0.87	5.00	

7 - Ignat Soroko communicated effectively. -												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	8	80.00%		4.80	4.16	4.40				
Agree		(4)	2	20.00%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
10/15 (66.67%)	4.80	0.42	5.00	2851	4.16	1.09	5.00	80027	4.40	0.95	5.00	

8 - Ignat Soroko stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	63.64%		4.64	3.78	4.23				
Agree		(4)	4	36.36%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/15 (73.33%)	4.64	0.50	5.00	2850	3.78	1.28	4.00	79901	4.23	1.07	5.00	



**Course:** MAC2312-0002.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/15 (73.33 %)

**9 - Ignat Soroko provided helpful feedback on my work. -**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	63.64%		4.64							
Agree	(4)	4	36.36%			3.82						
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%									
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/15 (73.33%)	4.64	0.50	5.00	2846	3.82	1.23	4.00	79873	4.21	1.06	5.00	

**10 - Ignat Soroko demonstrated respect for students. -**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	90.91%		4.91							
Agree	(4)	1	9.09%			4.42						
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%									
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/15 (73.33%)	4.91	0.30	5.00	2839	4.42	0.90	5.00	79756	4.59	0.77	5.00	

**11 - Ignat Soroko demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	100.00%		5.00							
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%			4.40						
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%									
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/15 (73.33%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	2843	4.40	0.94	5.00	79821	4.60	0.75	5.00	

**12 - Overall course content rating.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%		4.64							
Above Satisfactory	(4)	4	36.36%			3.89						
Satisfactory	(3)	0	0.00%									
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%									
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%									
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/15 (73.33%)	4.64	0.50	5.00	2833	3.89	1.13	4.00	77208	4.17	1.04	5.00	

Florida State University  
2021 Fall Course Evaluation



**Course:** MAC2312-0002.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/15 (73.33 %)

**13 - Overall rating for Ignat Soroko -**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent	(5)	9	81.82%								
Above Satisfactory	(4)	2	18.18%								
Satisfactory	(3)	0	0.00%								
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>University</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
11/15 (73.33%)	4.82	0.40	5.00	2835	4.03	1.16	4.00	79690	4.33	1.00	5.00

**14 - What is your year in school?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
1st year	(1)	3	27.27%								
Sophomore	(2)	3	27.27%								
Junior	(3)	5	45.45%								
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%								
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100							
<b>Response Rate</b>											
11/15 (73.33%)											

**15 - What is your cumulative GPA?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%								
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%								
2.5-2.99	(3)	1	9.09%								
3.0-3.49	(4)	4	36.36%								
3.5-4.0	(5)	5	45.45%								
Not Applicable	(6)	1	9.09%								
				0 25 50 100							
<b>Response Rate</b>											
11/15 (73.33%)											

**16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
A	(1)	5	45.45%								
B	(2)	3	27.27%								
C	(3)	3	27.27%								
D	(4)	0	0.00%								
F	(5)	0	0.00%								
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100							
<b>Response Rate</b>											
11/15 (73.33%)											

Florida State University  
2021 Fall Course Evaluation



**Course:** MAC2312-0002.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/15 (73.33 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	9	90.00%	<div style="width: 90%;"></div>	
No	(2)	1	10.00%	<div style="width: 10%;"></div>	
				0 25 50 100	
<b>Response Rate</b>					
10/15 (66.67%)					

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -	
Response Rate	9/15 (60%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Showing proof to many things he explains, helps a lot finding the logic behind</li> <li>• In class, Mr. Soroko goes over problems in depth and shows us step by step. He also provides a lot of practice problems before each test that help prepare you for what the questions may be like. I usually do all of the problems and have no issues when I get to the test.</li> <li>• For me, the most useful aspect of this course is the practice exams that Dr. Soroko gives us. The practice tests cover all the material that will be seen on the exam so there is no question of what material you will be examined on. I have received two perfect scores on his exams because he provides us with very helpful resources that make learning calculus 2 easier. I put hours and hours of work into his class learning the material, and he creates his test in a way that easily rewards all of the effort you put into his class.</li> <li>• I found that Dr. Soroko's instructional methods were very thorough. The most useful part of his way of teaching would be when he would provide explanations or rather summaries after giving the numerical explanations. The summary in words helped clarify the previous numerical explanations.</li> <li>• When he asks the class if they understand or if they have any questions.</li> <li>• His lectures were great and taught us the principles that we needed to apply for the problems we would face.</li> <li>• I believe Ignat Soroko is a phenomenal professor. He is very considerate in making sure everyone is following along with his lessons and will pause to make sure people catch up. He also made sure everyone could see the board clearly and would move the computer every class which I thought was thoughtful. The reviews he posted before each exam were helpful and the homeworks were just the right length, not too time consuming but enough to where I need to sit down and learn the material. I love love love the way he teaches the class on the board. I didn't realize how much I loved how he taught till a sub came in and I just couldn't follow as clearly compared to if Ignat Soroko was teaching. He writes neatly, large, and organized on the board and explains his logic well. Although our section was not as interactive as it could've been, he encouraged us and would call on individuals, sometimes in a joking manner, which, to me, I thought was funny. He really wanted the class to participate and although we didn't reciprocate that well, he always talked to us in a friendly, joking way. I like his Russian jokes. He is also very communicative, he would talk to everyone if he could I believe. For example, I submitted my extra time exam request late and although I knew and fixed it during class, he still came up to me after class to make sure it was submitted so he could upload the exam. This man is a great professor, probably the best I've had so far, and although I love math a lot, he made me love math even further with his teaching skills.</li> <li>• I really appreciated that the professor would write the notes and show examples as we were learning the material. I also appreciated the reviews that the professor did right before the exams.</li> <li>• The reviews before the midterm and final were very beneficial as it gave clear examples what to expect while taking the test.</li> </ul>	

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -	
Response Rate	7/15 (46.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Slow down a little sometimes</li> <li>• I wish that he would have recorded his lectures and uploaded them onto his canvas. I know that this is not required of Dr. Soroko, but for those instances where you cannot physically go to class, being able to watch the lecture on canvas would have facilitated the learning in his class. Plus, this enables students to go back and review the lecture if they misunderstood parts of it when the content was being taught in class.</li> <li>• I believe that Dr. Soroko does an amazing job as a professor and does not have much space for improvement. It is clear that he knows exactly what he is teaching and that he is passionate about the subject and about making sure the students are successful as well.</li> <li>• Maybe going over a more difficult example at the end of each lecture.</li> <li>• There are only a few things Ignat Soroko could improve on, as he is still a wonderful professor. When he called on people, I'm sure he didn't mean to, but there was always a slight pressure in the air to get the answer right because if you were wrong, he'd call you out in class, like make you feel slightly bad. I am sure he didn't mean to, it's just his tone, but if he fixed this aspect, he may see more participation in his classes. Another thing is sometimes he would talk to an individual but in front of the entire class, so personal things were kinda out in the open. I remember one time a girl was sick or something personal, and he asked if she was feeling better, which is thoughtful, but in front of the entire class, in utter silence, so it was just kind of awkward. Again, these are minor things but they might improve his class participation.</li> <li>• I think it would have been a little more helpful to do more of the difficult examples in class as opposed to having them in the homework. Maybe having quizzes throughout the semester could have also helped.</li> <li>• Sometimes the homework problems were a little too complicated for the topic, losing some focus on what the new material is and getting lost trying to solve a super specific problem.</li> </ul>	

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.	
Response Rate	5/15 (33.33%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Soroko is very well suited for his position at the university. I have already had a love for calculus, and Dr. Soroko has made me enjoy mathematics even more. I am in my first semester of college and Dr. Soroko is by far my favorite professor I have. He has made the transition from high school mathematics to mathematics taught at college smoothly and I feel extremely prepared for calculus 3 next semester.</li> <li>• Dr. Soroko was my favorite professor I have had for math and he gave me back a love for math that I had forgotten I had.</li> <li>• In our lecture on November 30th, for the first time, we did a problem on the board and Ignat Soroko walked around to each individual to check their progress on the problem (usually he just teaches and writes on the board while asking us questions). Although perhaps time consuming, I found this very beneficial.</li> <li>• I really appreciated Dr. Soroko's understanding and how he was always willing to answer your questions.</li> <li>• No additional comments. Thanks for everything</li> </ul>	

**Florida State University**  
**2021 Fall Course Evaluation**



---

**Course:** MAC2312-0002.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/15 (73.33 %)

---



**Course:** MAC2312-0007.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/17 (70.59 %)

**1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	45.45%					4.27	4.11	4.32			
Agree	(4)	4	36.36%										
Neutral	(3)	2	18.18%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	4.27	0.79	4.00	2869			4.11	1.05	4.00	77970	4.32	0.93	5.00

**2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	72.73%					4.64	4.24	4.39			
Agree	(4)	2	18.18%										
Neutral	(3)	1	9.09%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	4.64	0.67	5.00	2851			4.24	0.93	4.00	77534	4.39	0.87	5.00

**3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	45.45%					4.27	4.16	4.28			
Agree	(4)	4	36.36%										
Neutral	(3)	2	18.18%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	4.27	0.79	4.00	2848			4.16	1.03	4.00	77472	4.28	0.97	5.00

**4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	81.82%					4.73	4.23	4.37			
Agree	(4)	1	9.09%										
Neutral	(3)	1	9.09%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
							0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	4.73	0.65	5.00	2855			4.23	0.95	4.00	77435	4.37	0.89	5.00

Florida State University  
2021 Fall Course Evaluation



**Course:** MAC2312-0007.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/17 (70.59 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	63.64%		4.55	4.09	4.29				
Agree		(4)	3	27.27%								
Neutral		(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)	4.55	0.69	5.00	2846	4.09	1.08	4.00	77288	4.29	0.97	5.00	

6 - Ignat Soroko provided clear expectations for the course. -												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	63.64%		4.55	4.29	4.46				
Agree		(4)	3	27.27%								
Neutral		(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)	4.55	0.69	5.00	2848	4.29	0.96	5.00	80037	4.46	0.87	5.00	

7 - Ignat Soroko communicated effectively. -												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	63.64%		4.55	4.16	4.40				
Agree		(4)	3	27.27%								
Neutral		(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)	4.55	0.69	5.00	2851	4.16	1.09	5.00	80027	4.40	0.95	5.00	

8 - Ignat Soroko stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree		(5)	6	54.55%		4.36	3.78	4.23				
Agree		(4)	3	27.27%								
Neutral		(3)	2	18.18%								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%								
					0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)	4.36	0.81	5.00	2850	3.78	1.28	4.00	79901	4.23	1.07	5.00	





**Course:** MAC2312-0007.faz1: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/17 (70.59 %)

**9 - Ignat Soroko provided helpful feedback on my work. -**

Response Option					Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means									
Strongly Agree					(5)	4	36.36%		4.09	3.82	4.21							
Agree					(4)	4	36.36%											
Neutral					(3)	3	27.27%											
Disagree					(2)	0	0.00%											
Strongly Disagree					(1)	0	0.00%											
											0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
11/17 (64.71%)	4.09	0.83	4.00	2846			3.82	1.23	4.00	79873		4.21	1.06	5.00				

**10 - Ignat Soroko demonstrated respect for students. -**

Response Option					Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means									
Strongly Agree					(5)	8	72.73%		4.64	4.42	4.59							
Agree					(4)	2	18.18%											
Neutral					(3)	1	9.09%											
Disagree					(2)	0	0.00%											
Strongly Disagree					(1)	0	0.00%											
											0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
11/17 (64.71%)	4.64	0.67	5.00	2839			4.42	0.90	5.00	79756		4.59	0.77	5.00				

**11 - Ignat Soroko demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -**

Response Option					Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means									
Strongly Agree					(5)	8	72.73%		4.64	4.40	4.60							
Agree					(4)	2	18.18%											
Neutral					(3)	1	9.09%											
Disagree					(2)	0	0.00%											
Strongly Disagree					(1)	0	0.00%											
											0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
11/17 (64.71%)	4.64	0.67	5.00	2843			4.40	0.94	5.00	79821		4.60	0.75	5.00				

**12 - Overall course content rating.**

Response Option					Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means									
Excellent					(5)	6	54.55%		4.36	3.89	4.17							
Above Satisfactory					(4)	3	27.27%											
Satisfactory					(3)	2	18.18%											
Below Satisfactory					(2)	0	0.00%											
Poor					(1)	0	0.00%											
											0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
11/17 (64.71%)	4.36	0.81	5.00	2833			3.89	1.13	4.00	77208		4.17	1.04	5.00				

Florida State University  
2021 Fall Course Evaluation



**Course:** MAC2312-0007.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/17 (70.59 %)

**13 - Overall rating for Ignat Soroko -**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%								
Above Satisfactory	(4)	3	27.27%								
Satisfactory	(3)	1	9.09%								
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%								
0 25 50 100					Question	Department	University				
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>University</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
11/17 (64.71%)	4.55	0.69	5.00	2835	4.03	1.16	4.00	79690	4.33	1.00	5.00

**14 - What is your year in school?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
1st year	(1)	8	72.73%								
Sophomore	(2)	1	9.09%								
Junior	(3)	2	18.18%								
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%								
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%								
0 25 50 100											
<b>Response Rate</b>											
11/17 (64.71%)											

**15 - What is your cumulative GPA?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%								
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%								
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%								
3.0-3.49	(4)	5	45.45%								
3.5-4.0	(5)	4	36.36%								
Not Applicable	(6)	2	18.18%								
0 25 50 100											
<b>Response Rate</b>											
11/17 (64.71%)											

**16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
A	(1)	4	40.00%								
B	(2)	4	40.00%								
C	(3)	2	20.00%								
D	(4)	0	0.00%								
F	(5)	0	0.00%								
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%								
0 25 50 100											
<b>Response Rate</b>											
10/17 (58.82%)											

**Florida State University**  
**2021 Fall Course Evaluation**



**Course:** MAC2312-0007.fa21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II  
**Instructor:** Ignat Soroko \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/17 (70.59 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%		
No	(2)	0	0.00%		
				0    25    50    100	
<b>Response Rate</b>					
11/17 (64.71%)					

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -	
<b>Response Rate</b>	9/17 (52.94%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Soroko taught the class very well and I understood the material in class very well. It is helpful when he stops momentarily to allow students to catch up because he does go over the content very fast</li> <li>• He was so nice and helpful.</li> <li>• Absolutely astonishing lectures that break down complicated problems to their simplest form.</li> <li>• Dr. Soroko used clear lecture examples to explain concepts, and he would make time for class-participation and finding applicable or real-world examples to demonstrate or further explain what we were covering in class. He could fully explain examples in each class and tackle questions from students in ways that made a concept clearer and allowed for a more in-depth understanding.</li> <li>• The notes are extremely clear and easy to understand which also helps with the homework.</li> <li>• I did appreciate that he actually wrote the notes down on the board each class so that we were able to write with him. I think that's more effective in grasping the material, rather than a pre-made PowerPoint already filled out.</li> <li>• I found the format of class with an explanation of the material followed by examples very helpful. We did this pretty much daily</li> <li>• He was able to explain the course subjects very well. He incredibly knowledgeable about the subject and teaches just as well. He typically goes at a good speed for note taking. If students have questions related to the lessons, he is able to answer them satisfactorily.</li> <li>• I liked how he was very kind to students and would check himself if we was going too fast or slow for us to take notes. I think he explained concepts very well and provided a clear outline of what to expect.</li> </ul>	

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -	
<b>Response Rate</b>	9/17 (52.94%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dr. Soroko is a good teacher and the only thing that could be improved is maybe stopping more often to ensure that everyone has caught up in writing down the content.</li> <li>• He could allow us to practice examples in class after he explained them. Also a discussion class that is not used as another lecture class, instead focusing on specific questions would be a great help.</li> <li>• N/A</li> <li>• The only thing I would improve on is greater feedback on exams; for questions I missed, sometimes it would be difficult to know exactly what I did wrong or what a correct step would have been. Even for this, Dr. Soroko uploads answer keys to our exams after we've gotten them back, which is a plus. However, more specific feedback on exams would be good.</li> <li>• I would not change anything about Dr. Soroko's teaching.</li> <li>• I think his methods were just fine, but I wasn't too fond of the overall course grade being comprised of just four exams worth 90% and then the homework being 10%. I am not the best test-taker so that was a bit worrisome for me, considering I have other classes to worry about as well.</li> <li>• Something I feel that could help is providing a test review with questions from all the different sections on the test rather than just opening multiple different section practice problems.</li> <li>• Dr. Soroko is the best teacher I have had at FSU so far and may be the best math teacher I have ever had. I am not sure what could improve it more than it already is.</li> <li>• I feel like some of the problems and explanations during lecture are a bit difficult compared to what is on the exams. I think more clearly lecturing the type of problems that were shown on exams would be more beneficial so students know what to expect.</li> </ul>	

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.	
<b>Response Rate</b>	6/17 (35.29%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A</li> <li>• Dr. Soroko was a great professor for MAC 2312. He's engaging, funny, and knows his stuff for sure, and I would recommend him for this class.</li> <li>• Dr. Soroko is one of the sweetest and most understanding professors I have ever had.</li> <li>• Overall, I think Dr. Soroko is perfectly fine as a professor. He is definitely one of the better math teachers I have had in my past years as a student. Other than the exams being worth so much of the overall grade of the class, I think his class is just fine.</li> <li>• No other comments I loved your class</li> <li>• I wholeheartedly recommend Dr. Ignat Soroko. Anyone who hires him would be proud to have him. Thank you Dr. Soroko for being such a great teacher and for caring about the course subject and your students.</li> </ul>	