

## TRIPLY IMPRIMITIVE REPRESENTATIONS OF $GL(2)$

RALF SCHMIDT AND SALAM TURKI

(Communicated by Matthew A. Papanikolas)

ABSTRACT. We give a criterion for an irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal representation  $\pi$  of  $GL(2, K)$ , where  $K$  is a  $p$ -adic field, to become a principal series representation under every quadratic base change. We determine all such  $\pi$  that have trivial central character and conductor 2, and explain their relevance for the theory of elliptic curves.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal representation of  $GL(2, K)$ . For a quadratic field extension  $L/K$  we denote by  $BC_{L/K}(\pi)$  the base change of  $\pi$  to  $L$ , which is an irreducible, admissible representation of  $GL(2, L)$ ; see [2] for basic properties of base change. The representation  $BC_{L/K}(\pi)$  may remain supercuspidal, or may be a principal series representation. In this note we investigate the following question:

(1) Is it possible that  $BC_{L/K}(\pi)$  is a principal series representation for *all* quadratic extensions  $L$ ?

We reformulate this question in terms of the local parameters corresponding to the representations involved via the local Langlands correspondence (see [5] for basic properties of this correspondence). Since  $\pi$  is supercuspidal, its parameter is an irreducible, 2-dimensional representation  $(\varphi, V)$  of the Weil group  $W(\bar{K}/K)$ ,

$$\varphi : W(\bar{K}/K) \longrightarrow GL(2, V) \cong GL(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Quadratic base change corresponds to restricting  $\varphi$  to subgroups of index-2; such subgroups are precisely the Weil groups  $W(\bar{K}/L)$  where  $L/K$  is a quadratic field extension. The restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $W(\bar{K}/L)$  remains irreducible exactly if  $BC_{L/K}(\pi)$  is supercuspidal. The above question is therefore equivalent to the following:

(2) Is it possible that  $\text{res}_H^{W(\bar{K}/K)}(\varphi)$  is reducible for *all* index-2 subgroups  $H$  of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$ ?

It follows from the representation theory of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$  that if  $\text{res}_H^{W(\bar{K}/K)}(\varphi)$  is reducible, then it is a direct sum of two 1-dimensional representations. Via the local Langlands correspondence, this direct sum corresponds to a principal series representation of  $GL(2, K)$ .

We will show that the answer to question (1) is “no” if the residual characteristic of  $K$  is even. Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd. For reasons to be

---

Received by the editors December 31, 2016 and, in revised form, April 21, 2017.  
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F70, 22E50.

explained, we call a supercuspidal  $\pi$  *triplely imprimitive* if  $\text{BC}_{L/K}(\pi)$  is a principal series representation for *all* quadratic extensions  $L$  of  $K$ . In odd residual characteristic it is known that every supercuspidal  $\pi$  is dihedral, i.e., can be constructed via the Weil representation, as in §1 of [4]. The input for this construction is a quadratic field extension  $F$  of  $K$  and a non-Galois invariant character  $\xi$  of  $F^\times$ ; let  $\omega_{F,\xi}$  be the supercuspidal representation of  $\text{GL}(2, K)$  attached to this data. Then we will prove that  $\omega_{F,\xi}$  is triplely imprimitive if and only if  $\xi^2$  is Galois-invariant; see Corollary 3.2.

Next we consider those supercuspidal  $\pi$  which have trivial central character and (exponent of the) conductor 2. Under the assumption that the residual characteristic is not 2 or 3, only such supercuspidals are relevant for the theory of elliptic curves. Our main result is Theorem 4.1 below. It states that if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ , then there is no triplely imprimitive such  $\pi$ , and if  $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ , then there is a unique one; here,  $q$  is the cardinality of the residue class field.

In the final section we explain how one can easily determine from the Weierstrass equation of an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $K$  whether the associated irreducible, admissible representation of  $\text{GL}(2, K)$  is the triplely imprimitive supercuspidal exhibited in Theorem 4.1.

## 2. RESTRICTING REPRESENTATIONS TO INDEX-2 SUBGROUPS

Let  $G$  be a group, and  $H$  an index-2 subgroup. All representations of these groups are assumed to be finite-dimensional and complex. By a *character* we mean a 1-dimensional representation. We fix an element  $\sigma \in G$  which is not in  $H$ , so that  $G = H \sqcup \sigma H$ . If  $\xi$  is a representation of  $H$ , then the *conjugate representation*  $\xi^\sigma$  is defined by  $\xi^\sigma(h) = \xi(\sigma h \sigma^{-1})$ . We denote by  $\text{res}_H^G$  and  $\text{ind}_H^G$  the restriction and induction functors. The following two lemmas are well known.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $H$  an index-2 subgroup. Let  $\chi$  be the unique non-trivial character of  $G/H$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then exactly one of the following two alternatives occurs:*

- (1)  $\varphi \not\cong \varphi \otimes \chi$  and  $\text{res}_H^G(\varphi)$  is irreducible. In this case

$$\text{ind}_H^G(\text{res}_H^G(\varphi)) = \varphi \oplus (\varphi \otimes \chi).$$

- (2)  $\varphi \cong \varphi \otimes \chi$  and  $\text{res}_H^G(\varphi) = \xi \oplus \xi^\sigma$ , where  $\xi$  is an irreducible representation of  $H$ . In this case  $\xi \not\cong \xi^\sigma$ , and

$$\varphi = \text{ind}_H^G(\xi) = \text{ind}_H^G(\xi^\sigma).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $H$  an index-2 subgroup.*

- (1) *Let  $\xi$  be a representation of  $H$  and  $\mu$  a character of  $G$ . Then*

$$(3) \quad \text{ind}_H^G(\xi) \otimes \mu \cong \text{ind}_H^G(\xi \otimes \text{res}_H^G(\mu)).$$

- (2) *Let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be representations of  $H$ . Then*

$$(4) \quad \text{ind}_H^G(\xi_1) \cong \text{ind}_H^G(\xi_2) \iff (\xi_1 \cong \xi_2 \text{ or } \xi_1 \cong \xi_2^\sigma).$$

We can now prove the following result about the restriction of 2-dimensional representations to index-2 subgroups. It is closely related to the arguments in Sect. 6 of [7].

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group with more than one index-2 subgroup.*

- (1) *Assume that there exists an irreducible 2-dimensional representation  $\varphi$  of  $G$  such that  $\text{res}_H^G(\varphi)$  is reducible for all index-2 subgroups  $H$ . Then  $G$  has exactly three index-2 subgroups.*
- (2) *Assume that  $G$  has exactly three index-2 subgroups  $H_1, H_2, H_3$ . Let  $\xi$  be a character of  $H_1$  with  $\xi \neq \xi^\sigma$ ; here,  $\sigma$  is an element of  $G$  that is not in  $H_1$ . Let  $\varphi = \text{ind}_{H_1}^G(\xi)$ . Then*

$$(5) \quad \text{res}_{H_i}^G(\varphi) \text{ is reducible for } i = 1, 2, 3 \iff (\xi^2)^\sigma = \xi^2.$$

*Proof.* i) Let  $\varphi$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$  such that  $\text{res}_{H_1}^G(\varphi)$  is reducible for some index-2 subgroup  $H_1$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists an irreducible representation  $\xi$  of  $H_1$  such that  $\text{res}_{H_1}^G(\varphi) = \xi \oplus \xi^\sigma$ . We have  $\xi \not\cong \xi^\sigma$  and  $\varphi = \text{ind}_{H_1}^G(\xi)$ .

Let  $\{H_i\}$  be the set of index-2 subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $\chi_i$  be the non-trivial character of  $G$  that is trivial on  $H_i$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $G$  that is not in  $H_1$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_{H_i}^G(\varphi) \text{ is reducible} &\iff \varphi \cong \varphi \otimes \chi_i \\ &\iff \text{ind}_{H_1}^G(\xi) \cong \text{ind}_{H_1}^G(\xi) \otimes \chi_i \\ &\iff \text{ind}_{H_1}^G(\xi) \cong \text{ind}_{H_1}^G(\xi \otimes \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i)) \\ &\iff \left( \xi \cong \xi \otimes \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i) \text{ or } \xi^\sigma \cong \xi \otimes \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that  $\dim(\varphi) = 2$ , so that  $\xi$  is a character. Then  $\xi \cong \xi \otimes \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i)$  if and only if  $\text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i) = 1$ . But if  $i \neq 1$ , then  $\chi_i$  cannot be trivial on  $H_1$ , since its kernel is  $H_i$ . Hence, for  $i \neq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_{H_i}^G(\varphi) \text{ is reducible} &\iff \xi^\sigma \cong \xi \otimes \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i) \\ &\iff \xi^\sigma = \xi \cdot \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Assume this condition is satisfied for  $i, j \neq 1$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_i) = \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_j)$ . Hence  $\chi_i \chi_j$  is a non-trivial quadratic character which is trivial on  $H_1$ . We conclude that  $\chi_i \chi_j = \chi_1$ . It follows that if  $\text{res}_{H_i}^G(\varphi)$  is reducible for all  $i$ , then there cannot be more than three index-2 subgroups. Note that we cannot have exactly two index-2 subgroups, since if  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are two distinct quadratic characters of  $G$ , then  $\chi_1 \chi_2$  is a third such character. Hence there are exactly three index-2 subgroups.

ii) Let the notation be as in the first part of the proof. As we saw,  $\chi_2 \chi_3 = \chi_1$ , and hence  $\text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_2) = \text{res}_{H_1}^G(\chi_3)$ . Let this common restriction be denoted by  $\alpha$ . The kernel of  $\alpha$  is  $H_1 \cap H_2 = H_1 \cap H_3$ , which is an index-2 subgroup of  $H_1$ . From above, we see that

$$(6) \quad \text{res}_{H_i}^G(\varphi) \text{ is reducible for } i = 1, 2, 3 \iff \xi^\sigma = \xi \cdot \alpha.$$

In particular, if  $\text{res}_{H_i}^G(\varphi)$  is reducible for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $(\xi^2)^\sigma = \xi^2$ .

It remains to prove that if  $\xi$  is a character of  $H_1$  with  $\xi \neq \xi^\sigma$  and  $(\xi^2)^\sigma = \xi^2$ , then  $\text{res}_{H_i}^G(\varphi)$  is reducible for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $M \subset H_1$  be the kernel of  $\xi/\xi^\sigma$ . Since  $(\xi/\xi^\sigma)^2 = 1$  by hypothesis,  $M$  is an index-2 subgroup of  $H_1$ . We claim that  $\sigma$  normalizes  $M$ . Indeed, for  $m \in M$ ,

$$\left( \frac{\xi}{\xi^\sigma} \right) (\sigma m \sigma^{-1}) = \frac{\xi(\sigma m \sigma^{-1})}{\xi^\sigma(\sigma m \sigma^{-1})} = \frac{\xi(\sigma m \sigma^{-1})}{\xi(m)} = 1.$$

Since also  $\sigma^2 \in M$ , it follows that  $M \sqcup \sigma M$  is an index-2 subgroup of  $G$ , say  $M \sqcup \sigma M = H_2$ . Evidently,  $M = H_1 \cap H_2$ . It follows that  $\xi/\xi^\sigma$  equals the character  $\alpha$  appearing in (6). This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Recall that a representation  $\varphi$  of a group  $G$  is called *primitive* if it is not induced (from any subgroup), otherwise *imprimitive*. If the representation  $\varphi$  in ii) of Proposition 2.3 satisfies (5), then, by ii) of Lemma 2.1,  $\varphi$  is induced from any of the  $H_i$ . We will call such  $\varphi$  *triply imprimitive*. A similar terminology is used in [3].

### 3. APPLICATION TO WEIL GROUPS

In this and the following sections, let  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero,  $\mathfrak{o}$  its ring of integers,  $\mathfrak{p}$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$ , and  $\varpi$  a generator of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $q$  be the cardinality of the residue class field  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ . If  $L$  is an extension field, we denote the corresponding objects for  $L$  by  $\mathfrak{o}_L$ , etc. Let  $K^{\text{unr}}$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $K$  in  $\bar{K}$ .

Let  $W(\bar{K}/K)$  be the Weil group of  $K$ ; we refer to [10] for background. By definition,

$$(7) \quad W(\bar{K}/K) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi^n I,$$

where  $I = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K^{\text{unr}})$  is the inertia subgroup, and  $\Phi$  is an *inverse* Frobenius element in  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ . Inverse means that  $\Phi$  induces the inverse of the map  $x \mapsto x^q$  on the algebraic closure of the residue class field  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ . There is a topology on  $W(\bar{K}/K)$  making it into a topological group, such that  $I$  is an open subset, and such that the induced topology on  $I$  coincides with the induced topology on  $I$  as a subset of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ .

Representations  $\varphi$  of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$  are always assumed to be complex, finite-dimensional and continuous. Observe that restriction to and induction from finite index subgroups respect the continuity of a representation. We will apply Proposition 2.3 to  $W(\bar{K}/K)$ .

Note that the quadratic field extensions  $L$  of  $K$  correspond to the index-2 subgroups  $W(\bar{K}/L)$  of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$ . If  $\varphi$  is a representation of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$ , we will abbreviate

$$\text{res}_{L/K}(\varphi) := \text{res}_{W(\bar{K}/L)}^{W(\bar{K}/K)}(\varphi),$$

and if  $\xi$  is a representation of  $W(\bar{K}/L)$ , we will abbreviate

$$\text{ind}_{L/K}(\xi) := \text{ind}_{W(\bar{K}/L)}^{W(\bar{K}/K)}(\xi).$$

The quadratic field extensions of  $K$  correspond to the non-trivial elements of the group  $K^\times/K^{\times 2}$ . It thus follows from Proposition II.5.7 of [6] that there are three quadratic field extensions  $L/K$  if the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd, and more than three otherwise. From Proposition 2.3 we therefore obtain the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $L_1, \dots, L_r$  be the quadratic field extensions of  $K$ .*

- (1) *Assume that there exists an irreducible 2-dimensional representation  $\varphi$  of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$  such that  $\text{res}_{L_i/K}(\varphi)$  is reducible for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd.*

- (2) Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd, so that  $r = 3$ . Let  $\xi$  be a character of  $W(\bar{K}/L_1)$  with  $\xi \neq \xi^\sigma$ ; here,  $\sigma$  is an element of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$  that is not in  $W(\bar{K}/L_1)$ . Let  $\varphi = \text{ind}_{L_1/K}(\xi)$ . Then

$$(8) \quad \text{res}_{L_i/K}(\varphi) \text{ is reducible for } i = 1, 2, 3 \iff (\xi^2)^\sigma = \xi^2.$$

Let  $F/K$  be a quadratic extension. Recall that characters of  $W(\bar{K}/F)$  correspond to characters of  $F^\times$  via local class field theory (this is also the local Langlands correspondence for  $GL(1)$ ). We will denote both kinds of characters by the symbol  $\xi$ . Given such a  $\xi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , there is an irreducible, admissible representation  $\omega_{F,\xi}$  of  $GL(2, K)$  constructed via the Weil representation; see §1 of [4]. We refer to  $\omega_{F,\xi}$  as a *dihedral* or a *monomial* representation. By Theorem 4.6 of [4],  $\omega_{F,\xi}$  is supercuspidal if and only if  $\xi$  is not Galois invariant. In this case the representation of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$  corresponding to  $\omega_{F,\xi}$  via the local Langlands correspondence is nothing but  $\text{ind}_{F/K}(\xi)$ .

If  $\pi$  is an irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal representation of  $GL(2, K)$  with corresponding 2-dimensional representation  $\varphi$  of  $W(\bar{K}/K)$ , then the base change  $\text{BC}_{L/K}(\pi)$  to a quadratic extension  $L$  of  $K$  corresponds to  $\text{res}_{L/K}(\varphi)$  (this is true for all irreducible, admissible  $\pi$  if one works with the Weil-Deligne group instead of the Weil group). Keeping these facts in mind, we may reformulate Proposition 3.1 as follows.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal representation of  $GL(2, K)$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $\text{BC}_{L/K}(\pi)$  is a principal series representation for all quadratic extensions  $L$  of  $K$ . Then the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd.*
- (2) *Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd, so that  $\pi$  is a dihedral supercuspidal. Write  $\pi = \omega_{F,\xi}$ , where  $F/K$  is a quadratic extension and  $\xi$  is a non-Galois invariant character of  $F^\times$ . Then  $\text{BC}_{L/K}(\pi)$  is a principal series representation for all quadratic extensions  $L$  of  $K$  if and only if  $\xi^2$  is Galois invariant.*

#### 4. THE CASE OF CONDUCTOR 2

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible, admissible representation of  $GL(2, K)$ . By definition, the conductor  $a(\pi)$  is the smallest non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $\pi$  admits a non-zero vector invariant under the congruence subgroup

$$GL(2, \mathfrak{o}) \cap \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p}^n & 1 + \mathfrak{p}^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

This number coincides with the conductor of the corresponding Weil-Deligne representation, as defined in §10 of [10]; for our purposes, we may take this as an alternative definition of  $a(\pi)$ . In this section we consider triply imprimitive supercuspidals with conductor 2 and trivial central character.

Again let  $F/K$  be a quadratic extension. Let  $\sigma$  be the non-trivial Galois automorphism of this extension. Let  $\xi$  be a character of  $F^\times$  with  $\xi \neq \xi^\sigma$ , where  $\xi^\sigma(x) = \xi(\sigma(x))$ . Let  $\omega_{F,\xi}$  be the corresponding dihedral supercuspidal. By §10 of [10], we have the conductor formula

$$(9) \quad a(\omega_{F,\xi}) = d(F/K) + f(F/K)a(\xi).$$

Here,  $d(F/K)$  is the valuation of the discriminant of  $F/K$  and  $f(F/K)$  is the residue class degree. The number  $f(F/K)$  is 1 or 2, depending on whether  $F/K$  is ramified or unramified. Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd. Then the number  $d(F/K)$  is 0 or 1, again depending on whether  $F/K$  is ramified or unramified. Hence,

$$(10) \quad a(\omega_{F,\xi}) = \begin{cases} 2a(\xi) & \text{if } F/K \text{ is unramified,} \\ 1 + a(\xi) & \text{if } F/K \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

We are especially interested in the case of conductor 2, since this case is relevant for elliptic curves. From above, we see that

$$a(\omega_{F,\xi}) = 2 \iff a(\xi) = 1.$$

Such  $\xi$  are *tamely ramified*, meaning their restriction to the unit group  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$  is non-trivial, but further restriction to  $1 + \mathfrak{p}_F$  is trivial. Hence, such  $\xi$  descend to a character of the multiplicative group of the residue class field  $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F$ . Conversely, given  $\xi : (\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , we can inflate  $\xi$  to a character of  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ , give it some value on a uniformizer  $\varpi_F$ , and thus obtain a tamely ramified character of  $F^\times$ .

In the following we continue to assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd and look for characters  $\xi$  of  $F^\times$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (A)  $\xi^\sigma \neq \xi$ .
- (B)  $\xi|_{K^\times} = \chi_{F/K}$ .
- (C)  $a(\xi) = 1$ .
- (D)  $(\xi^2)^\sigma = \xi^2$ .

Condition (A) assures that  $\pi := \omega_{F,\xi}$  is supercuspidal. Condition (B) is equivalent to  $\pi$  having trivial central character. Condition (C) is equivalent to  $\pi$  having conductor 2. Finally, by Proposition 3.1 ii), condition (D) means that  $\text{BC}_{L/K}(\pi)$  is a principal series representation for *all* quadratic field extensions  $L$  of  $K$ .

**The unramified case.** Assume first that  $F/K$  is the *unramified* quadratic extension of  $K$ . Then the residue class field  $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F$  is a quadratic extension of  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ . Assume  $\xi$  has the properties (A) – (D) in (11). By (C),  $\xi$  determines a character  $\bar{\xi}$  of  $(\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F)^\times$  with the following properties:

- (A)  $\bar{\xi}^{\bar{\sigma}} \neq \bar{\xi}$ .
- (B) The restriction of  $\bar{\xi}$  to  $(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})^\times$  is trivial.
- (D)  $(\bar{\xi}^2)^{\bar{\sigma}} = \bar{\xi}^2$ .

Here,  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the non-trivial Galois automorphism of the residue class field extension. Explicitly,  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the Frobenius, given by  $\bar{\sigma}(x) = x^q$ .

Let  $g$  be a generator of the cyclic group  $(\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F)^\times$ . The order of  $g$  is  $q^2 - 1$ . Any character  $\bar{\xi}$  of  $(\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F)^\times$  is determined by its value on  $g$ , and this value can be any  $(q^2 - 1)$ -th root of unity:

$$\bar{\xi}(g) = e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{q^2-1}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q^2 - 1.$$

The conditions (12) are then equivalent to the following:

- (A)  $k \notin (q + 1)\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (B)  $k \in (q - 1)\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (D)  $2k \in (q + 1)\mathbb{Z}$ .

Conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$  imply that

$$k = \frac{q+1}{2}(1+2m), \quad m \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-2\}.$$

Assume that  $\bar{B}$  is also satisfied, i.e.,

$$\frac{q+1}{2}(1+2m) = (q-1)n$$

for some integer  $n$ . If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then the left side is odd and the right side is even, so this is impossible. Assume that  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Since the integers  $\frac{q+1}{4}$  and  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  are relatively prime, it follows that  $1+2m = j\frac{q-1}{2}$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For reasons of size we must have  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Also,  $j$  must be odd, so the only possibilities are  $j = 1$  and  $j = 3$ . Hence the only possibilities for  $k$  are  $k = \frac{q^2-1}{4}$  and  $k = 3\frac{q^2-1}{4}$ . Note that

$$q\frac{q^2-1}{4} \equiv 3\frac{q^2-1}{4} \pmod{q^2-1}$$

due to our hypothesis  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , so that the two possible values of  $k$  lead to Galois-conjugate characters  $\bar{\xi}$ . We might as well fix  $k = \frac{q^2-1}{4}$ . Our character  $\bar{\xi}$  is then given by

$$(14) \quad \bar{\xi}(g) = e^{2\pi i/4} = i$$

(its Galois-conjugate would have  $g \mapsto -i$ ).

Conversely, assuming that  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , we can define  $\bar{\xi}$  by (14). Let  $\xi$  be the inflation of  $\bar{\xi}$  to  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ . To obtain a character of  $F^\times$ , we also need to define the value  $\xi(\varpi_F)$ , where  $\varpi_F$  is a uniformizer in  $F$ . Since  $F/K$  is unramified, we can take  $\varpi_F = \varpi$ , where  $\varpi$  is a uniformizer in  $K$ . Condition (B) in (11) then forces us to define  $\xi(\varpi) = -1$ . Having defined  $\xi$  in this way, we see that all the conditions in (11) are satisfied.

**The ramified case.** Now assume that  $F/K$  is a *ramified* quadratic extension of  $K$  (there are two such extensions). In this case  $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ . Assume that  $\xi$  satisfies the conditions in (11). Since  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times = \mathfrak{o}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}_F)$ , the restriction of  $\xi$  to  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$  is determined by  $\xi|_{\mathfrak{o}^\times}$ . In view of (B),  $\xi$  is completely determined on  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ . We also see that  $\xi = \xi^\sigma$  on  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ .

Choose the uniformizer  $\varpi$  of  $K$  such that  $F = K(\sqrt{\varpi})$ . Then  $\sigma(\sqrt{\varpi}) = -\sqrt{\varpi}$ , and hence

$$\xi^\sigma(\sqrt{\varpi}) = \xi(-\sqrt{\varpi}) = \chi_{F/K}(-1)\xi(\sqrt{\varpi}).$$

In order to satisfy (A), we must have  $\chi_{F/K}(-1) = -1$ ; this holds exactly if  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Assume this is the case, so that  $\xi^\sigma(\sqrt{\varpi}) = -\xi(\sqrt{\varpi})$ . We have

$$\xi(\sqrt{\varpi})^2 = \xi(\varpi) = \chi_{F/K}(\varpi) = \chi_{F/K}(-1)\chi_{F/K}(-\varpi) = \chi_{F/K}(-1) = -1,$$

since  $-\varpi$  is a norm. It follows that  $\xi(\sqrt{\varpi}) = \pm i$ , and up to Galois conjugation we may assume  $\xi(\sqrt{\varpi}) = i$ . We proved that  $\xi$  is unique up to Galois conjugation. Conversely, we see how to construct a character  $\xi$  with the properties (A) – (D) provided that  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

**Summary.** Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd. Recall that an irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$  is called triply imprimitive if  $\mathrm{BC}_{L/K}(\pi)$  is a principal series representation for every quadratic field extension  $L$  of  $K$ . The following theorem summarizes the results of this section.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is odd. Consider irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal representations  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$  with the following properties:*

- $\pi$  has trivial central character.
- $a(\pi) = 2$ .
- $\pi$  is triply imprimitive.

*If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then there exists no such representation. If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then there exists a unique such representation  $\pi$ , given in any one of the following two ways:*

- (1) *Let  $F/K$  be the unramified quadratic extension. Let  $g$  be a generator of  $(\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F)^\times$ , and define a character  $\bar{\xi}$  of this group by  $\bar{\xi}(g) = i$ . Inflate  $\bar{\xi}$  to a character  $\xi$  of  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ , and extend  $\xi$  to a character of  $F^\times$  by setting  $\xi(\varpi) = -1$ . Then  $\pi = \omega_{F,\xi}$ .*
- (2) *Let  $F/K$  be a ramified quadratic extension. Let  $\xi$  be the character of  $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times = \mathfrak{o}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}_F)$  that is trivial on  $1 + \mathfrak{p}_F$  and coincides with  $\chi_{F/K}$  on  $\mathfrak{o}^\times$ . Extend  $\xi$  to a character of  $F^\times$  by setting  $\xi(\sqrt{\varpi}) = i$ ; here,  $\varpi$  is a uniformizer of  $K$  such that  $F = K(\sqrt{\varpi})$ . Then  $\pi = \omega_{F,\xi}$ .*

We remark that the image of the representation  $W(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  corresponding to  $\pi$  as in Theorem 4.1 is the quaternion group  $Q$ . Our result is thus compatible with the fact that  $K$  admits a unique Galois extension  $E$  with Galois group  $G(E/K) \cong Q$  if  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and no such extension if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . We would like to thank David Roberts for pointing this out.

### 5. THE RELEVANCE FOR ELLIPTIC CURVES

We continue to let  $K$  be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve. Then there is an irreducible, admissible representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$  attached to  $E/K$  via the following procedure:

- Choose a prime  $\ell$  different from the residual characteristic of  $K$ .
- The Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  acts on the Tate module  $T_\ell(E)$ , yielding a 2-dimensional  $\ell$ -adic representation  $\varphi_\ell : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ .
- Via the procedure outlined in §4 of [10],  $\varphi_\ell$  can be converted to a complex representation  $\varphi : W(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . The isomorphism class of this representation is independent of the choice of  $\ell$ .
- After a twist, we may assume that  $\varphi$  has image in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .
- Via the local Langlands correspondence (see [5]),  $\varphi$  corresponds to an irreducible, admissible representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$ . Since the image of  $\varphi$  is contained in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , this  $\pi$  has trivial central character.

The correspondence between  $E$  and  $\pi$  is such that  $L(E, s) = L(s - 1/2, \pi)$ . Note that these are local  $L$ -factors, not global  $L$ -functions; in particular,  $L(s, \pi)$  does not necessarily characterize  $\pi$ . The shift in  $s$  is a consequence of the fact that we normalized  $\pi$  to have trivial central character. In other words,  $L(E, s)$  is given in arithmetic normalization, and  $L(s, \pi)$  in analytic normalization.



Another feature of the correspondence between  $E$  and  $\pi$  is that the conductors coincide,  $a(E) = a(\pi)$ . This is by definition, since the conductors of both  $E$  and  $\pi$  are defined as the conductor of the Weil-Deligne representation  $\varphi$ . Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is not 2 or 3. Then, as is well known,  $a(E)$  can only take the values 0, 1 or 2. We have  $a(E) = 0$  if  $E/K$  has good reduction,  $a(E) = 1$  if  $E/K$  has multiplicative reduction, and  $a(E) = 2$  if  $E/K$  has additive reduction.

A natural question is this: Given  $E/K$  (by some Weierstrass equation), determine  $\pi$ . A uniform answer is possible in the case of *potential multiplicative reduction*. Recall that  $E/K$  has potential multiplicative reduction if and only if its  $j$ -invariant is not contained in  $\mathfrak{o}$ . In this case the  $\gamma$ -invariant  $\gamma(E/K) := -c_4/c_6$  is a well-defined element of  $K^\times/K^{\times 2}$ ; see Lemma 5.2 in Sect. V.5 of [11]. Here,  $c_4$  and  $c_6$  are the usual quantities derived from a Weierstrass equation for  $E$  over  $K$ . Using the arguments in §15 of [10], one can show that

$$(15) \quad \pi = (\gamma(E/K), \cdot) \text{St}_{GL(2)}.$$

Here,  $\text{St}_{GL(2)}$  denotes the Steinberg representation of  $GL(2, K)$ ; the symbol  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the quadratic Hilbert symbol over  $K$ ; and the notation in (15) means the twist of  $\text{St}_{GL(2)}$  by the quadratic character  $x \mapsto (\gamma(E/K), x)$  of  $K^\times$ . Note that this character is trivial if and only if  $E$  has split multiplicative reduction over  $K$ , and is the unique non-trivial unramified quadratic character if and only if  $E$  has non-split multiplicative reduction over  $K$ . Note also that the formula (15) holds in any residual characteristic.

We will now assume that  $E$  has *potential good reduction*, i.e., that the  $j$ -invariant of  $E$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{o}$ . In this case  $\pi$  is either a principal series representation or supercuspidal. The first case occurs if the Weil-Deligne representation  $\varphi$  is a direct sum of two 1-dimensionals, and the second case occurs if  $\varphi$  is irreducible. Assuming that the residual characteristic is  $\geq 5$ , an easy-to-apply criterion to distinguish between the two cases is given in Proposition 2 of [9]:

$$(16) \quad \pi \text{ is a principal series representation} \iff (q - 1)v(\Delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}.$$

Here,  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of  $E/K$ , for any Weierstrass equation with integral coefficients, and  $v$  is the normalized valuation on  $K$ . (In [9], the criterion is formulated for  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , but the generalization is straightforward.) Equation (16) is a good example for determining a property of the representation  $\pi$  directly from the Weierstrass equation. For related results, including the more complicated cases  $p = 2$  and  $p = 3$ , see [1].

Let  $L/K$  be a field extension, and let  $E_L$  be the base change of  $E$  to  $L$ . Let  $\pi$  be the representation of  $GL(2, K)$  attached to  $E$ , and let  $\pi_L$  be the representation of  $GL(2, L)$  attached to  $E_L$ . It is easy to see from the definitions that

$$(17) \quad \pi_L = \text{BC}_{L/K}(\pi).$$

In other words, base change for elliptic curves corresponds to base change for the associated irreducible, admissible representations. Using these facts, it is easy to determine from the Weierstrass equation whether  $\pi$  is the triply imprimitive supercuspidal from Theorem 4.1:

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume that the residual characteristic of  $K$  is  $\geq 5$ . Let  $E/K$  be an elliptic curve with discriminant  $\Delta$ . Assume that  $E$  has bad, but potential good*

reduction. Let  $\pi$  be the irreducible, admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$  attached to  $E/K$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\pi$  is supercuspidal, and for every quadratic extension  $L$  of  $K$ , the irreducible, admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, L)$  attached to  $E_L$  is a principal series representation.
- (2)  $\pi$  is the triply imprimitive supercuspidal representation from Theorem 4.1.
- (3) The following conditions are satisfied:
  - $(q - 1)v(\Delta) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .
  - $2(q - 1)v(\Delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .

Here,  $v$  is the normalized valuation on  $K$ .

*Proof.* i) and ii) are equivalent by (17). Assume these conditions are satisfied. Then  $(q - 1)v(\Delta) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{12}$  by (16). Let  $L/K$  be a ramified quadratic extension. Since the representation attached to  $E_L$  is a principal series by assumption, we have

$$(18) \quad (q_L - 1)v_L(\Delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$$

by (16). But  $q_L = q$  and  $v_L(\Delta) = 2v(\Delta)$ , so that  $2(q - 1)v(\Delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .

Conversely, assume iii) is satisfied. Then  $\pi$  is supercuspidal by (16). Also, we claim that (18) is satisfied for every quadratic extension  $L$  of  $K$ . For if  $L/K$  is ramified, then (18) holds since  $q_L = q$  and  $v_L(\Delta) = 2v(\Delta)$ , and if  $L/K$  is unramified, then (18) holds since  $q_L = q^2$ . Thus, by (16), the representation attached to  $E_L$  is a principal series representation for every quadratic extension  $L/K$ .  $\square$

In the situation of Proposition 5.1, assume that i), ii) and iii) are satisfied. While the representation  $\pi_L = \mathrm{BC}_{L/K}(\pi)$  attached to  $E_L$  is a principal series representation, it is not unramified. One way to see this is to note that if  $\pi = \omega_{F, \xi}$  with  $\xi$  as in i) or ii) of Theorem 4.1, then the parameter of  $\pi_L$  is  $\xi \oplus \xi^\sigma$ . Since  $\xi$  is ramified, it follows that  $\pi_L$  is a ramified principal series representation. As a consequence,  $E$  does not acquire good reduction over any quadratic extension. (This can also be seen from the conditions on  $v(\Delta)$  in iii).)

For related results about the local and global representations attached to elliptic curves, see [8].

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank David Rohrlich for drawing our attention to related results in the literature, and David Roberts for several helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ian Connell, *Good reduction of elliptic curves in abelian extensions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **436** (1993), 155–175, DOI 10.1515/crll.1993.436.155. MR1207284
- [2] P. Gérardin and J.-P. Labesse, *The solution of a base change problem for  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$  (following Langlands, Saito, Shintani)*, Automorphic forms, representations and  $L$ -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 115–133. MR546613
- [3] Guy Henniart, *Représentations de degré 2 de  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2)$*  (French, with English summary), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **284** (1977), no. 21, A1329–A1332. MR0441868
- [4] H. Jacquet and R. P. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 114, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. MR0401654
- [5] Stephen S. Kudla, *The local Langlands correspondence: the non-Archimedean case*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 365–391. MR1265559

- [6] Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher; With a foreword by G. Harder. MR1697859
- [7] David E. Rohrlich, *Artin representations of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dihedral type*, Math. Res. Lett. **22** (2015), no. 6, 1767–1789, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2015.v22.n6.a12. MR3507261
- [8] David E. Rohrlich, *Nonvanishing of  $L$ -functions and structure of Mordell-Weil groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **417** (1991), 1–26, DOI 10.1515/crll.1991.417.1. MR1103904
- [9] David E. Rohrlich, *Variation of the root number in families of elliptic curves*, Compositio Math. **87** (1993), no. 2, 119–151. MR1219633
- [10] David E. Rohrlich, *Elliptic curves and the Weil-Deligne group*, Elliptic curves and related topics, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 125–157. MR1260960
- [11] Joseph H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. MR1312368

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73019-3103  
*E-mail address:* [rschmidt@math.ou.edu](mailto:rschmidt@math.ou.edu)

MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, RHODE ISLAND COLLEGE, 600 MOUNT PLEASANT AVENUE, PROVIDENCE, RI 02908  
*E-mail address:* [sturki@ric.edu](mailto:sturki@ric.edu)