

## THE BAIRE ORDER OF THE FUNCTIONS CONTINUOUS ALMOST EVERYWHERE

R. D. MAULDIN

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Phi$  be the family of all real-valued functions defined on the unit interval  $I$  which are continuous except for a set of Lebesgue measure zero. Let  $\Phi_0 = \Phi$  and for each ordinal  $\alpha$ , let  $\Phi_\alpha$  be the family of all pointwise limits of sequences taken from  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} \Phi_\gamma$ . Then  $\Phi_{\omega_1}$  is the Baire family generated by  $\Phi$ . It is proven here that if  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ , then  $\Phi_\alpha \neq \Phi_{\omega_1}$ . The proof is based upon the construction of a Borel measurable function  $h$  from  $I$  onto the Hilbert cube  $Q$  such that if  $x$  is in  $Q$ , then  $h^{-1}(x)$  is not a subset of an  $F_\sigma$  set of Lebesgue measure zero.

If  $\Phi$  is a family of real-valued functions defined on a set  $S$ , then the Baire family generated by  $\Phi$  may be described as follows: Let  $\Phi_0 = \Phi$  and for each ordinal  $\alpha > 0$ , let  $\Phi_\alpha$  be the family of all pointwise limits of sequences taken from  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} \Phi_\gamma$ . Of course,  $\Phi_{\omega_1} = \Phi_{\omega_1+1}$ , where  $\omega_1$  denotes the first uncountable ordinal and  $\Phi_{\omega_1}$  is the Baire family generated by  $\Phi$ ; the family  $\Phi_{\omega_1}$  is the smallest subfamily of  $R^S$  containing  $\Phi$  and which is closed under pointwise limits of sequences. The order of  $\Phi$  is the first ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $\Phi_\alpha = \Phi_{\alpha+1}$ .

Let  $C$  denote the family of all real-valued continuous functions on the unit interval  $I$ . It was first proven by Lebesgue that the order of  $C$  is  $\omega_1$  [1]. In 1924, Kuratowski [2] proved that if one relaxes the continuity condition by only requiring that the original functions be continuous except for a first category set, then the Baire order of this enlarged family is 1. In 1930, Kantorovitch [3] showed that if one requires that the original functions be continuous except for a set of Lebesgue measure zero, then the Baire order of this family is at least 2. Recently, the author generalized this result in the following fashion [4].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $S$  be a complete separable metric space, let  $u$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, complete Borel measure on  $S$  and let  $\Phi$  be the family of all real-valued functions on  $S$ , whose set of points of discontinuity is of  $u$ -measure 0. Then (1) the order of  $\Phi$  is 1 if and only if  $u$  is a purely atomic measure whose*

---

Received by the editors December 16, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A05, 26A21; Secondary 04A15, 54C50.

Key words and phrases. Lebesgue measure zero, Baire class  $\alpha$ , universal function, Hilbert cube.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

set of atoms is dispersed and (2) if the order of  $\Phi$  is not 1, the order of  $\Phi$  is at least 3.

In this paper  $\Phi$  will denote the family of all real-valued functions defined on the unit interval  $I$  which are continuous except for a set of Lebesgue measure zero. It is shown here that the Baire order of this family is  $\omega_1$ . The method of proof involves showing that there is a Borel measurable function  $h$  from  $I$  onto the Hilbert cube such that if  $x$  is a point of the Hilbert cube, then  $h^{-1}(x)$  is not a subset of an  $F_\sigma$  set of Lebesgue measure 0. Of course, there is no such function  $h$  which is continuous or even an  $h$  such that  $h^{-1}(x)$  is an  $F_\sigma$  set for each  $x$ . Thus, the function  $h$  is necessarily fairly complicated. We begin with a sequence of lemmas which are used to demonstrate the existence of one such function  $h$ . This function will be used to construct a transfinite sequence of "universal functions"  $\{U_\alpha\}_{0 < \alpha < \omega_1}$  [Theorem 2]. Finally, a diagonal type argument is applied to prove that the order of  $\Phi$  is  $\omega_1$  [Theorem 4].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $P$  be a perfect subset of the interval  $I$  such that if an open set  $U$  meets  $P$ , then  $\lambda(P \cap U) > 0$ . There is a double sequence  $\{F_{np}\}_{n,p=1}^\infty$  of disjoint perfect subsets of  $P$  such that (1) each  $F_{np}$  is nowhere dense in  $P$  and if an open set  $U$  meets  $F_{np}$ , then  $\lambda(U \cap F_{np}) > 0$ , and (2) if  $n$  is a positive integer and  $U$  is a nonempty set open with respect to  $P$ , then there is some  $p$  such that  $F_{np}$  is a subset of  $U$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a countable base of nonempty open sets with respect to  $P$ .

Let  $K_{11}$  be a perfect set lying in  $s_1 \cap s_1 = s_1$  such that  $K_{11}$  is nowhere dense in  $P$  and if an open set  $U$  intersects  $K_{11}$ , then  $\lambda(K_{11} \cap U) > 0$ . For each positive integer  $n$  and integer  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq n+1$ , let  $K_{n+1 p}$  be  $\emptyset$ , if  $s_{n+1} \cap s_p = \emptyset$ , and, if  $s_{n+1} \cap s_p \neq \emptyset$  let  $K_{n+1 p}$  be a perfect set lying in  $s_{n+1} \cap s_p$  such that (1)  $K_{n+1 p}$  is nowhere dense in  $P$ , (2)  $K_{n+1 p}$  is disjoint from  $(\bigcup_{r=1}^n \bigcup_{q=1}^r K_{rq}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{p-1} K_{n+1 i})$  (a union from 1 to 0 is taken to be empty) and (3) if an open set  $U$  intersects  $K_{n+1 p}$ , then  $\lambda(K_{n+1 p} \cap U) > 0$ .

For each  $p$ , let  $F_{1p} = K_{pp}$ . For each positive integer pair  $n, p$ , let  $F_{n+1 p}$  be the first term of the sequence  $\{K_{qp}\}_{q=p}^\infty$  which follows  $F_{np}$  and which is nonempty.

It follows that the double sequence  $\{F_{n,p}\}_{p,n=1}^\infty$  has the required properties.

Now let  $\{F_{(n,p)}\}_{n,p=1}^\infty$  be a double sequence which has the properties listed in Lemma 1, where  $P$  is the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

By repeated application of Lemma 1, we have

LEMMA 2. *There is a system of sets  $\{F_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2k})}\}$ , where  $(n_1, \dots, n_{2k})$  ranges over the family of all finite sequences of positive integers of even*

length such that if  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2k-1}, n_{2k})$  is such a sequence, then the double sequence  $\{F_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2k-1}, n_{2k}, n, p)}\}_{n, p=1}^{\infty}$  has the properties listed in Lemma 1 with respect to the set  $\{F_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2k-1}, n_{2k})}\}$ .

Let  $W_n$  be the family  $\{F_{(n, p)}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  for each  $n$ , and for each finite sequence of positive integers  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , let  $W_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$  be the family

$$\{F_{(n_1, i_1, n_2, i_2, \dots, n_k, i_k)}\}$$

where  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  ranges over all  $k$ -tuples of positive integers. Let  $T_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  be the union of all the sets in the family  $W_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$ .

Notice that these families have the following three properties:

- (1) If  $(m_1, \dots, m_k) \neq (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , then  $T_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$  and  $T_{(m_1, \dots, m_k)}$  are disjoint;
- (2) Each set in  $W_{(n_1, \dots, n_k, n_{k+1})}$  is a subset of some set in  $W_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$ ; and
- (3) If  $F \in W_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$ ,  $n$  is a positive integer, and  $U$  is an open set which meets  $F$ , then there is some set in the family  $W_{(n_1, \dots, n_k, n)}$  which is a subset of  $U$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive integers. The intersection of the monotonically decreasing sequence  $\{T_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is not a subset of an  $F_{\sigma}$  set of measure 0.

PROOF. For each  $n$ , let  $A_n$  be a closed set of Lebesgue measure 0. Since  $T_{n_1}$  is dense in the interval  $I$ , it follows that there is some set  $F_{n_1, k_1}$  which does not intersect  $A_1$ .

Since  $\lambda(F_{(n_1, k_1)}) > 0$  and  $\lambda(A_2) = 0$ , there is an open set which meets  $F_{n_1, k_1}$  which does not intersect  $A_2$ . It follows from property (3) that there is a set  $F_{(n_1, k_1, n_2, k_2)}$  which is a subset of  $F_{(n_1, k_1)}$  and does not meet  $A_2$ .

Continuing this process, we obtain a monotonically decreasing sequence  $\{F_{(n_1, k_1, \dots, n_p, k_p)}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  such that for each  $p$ ,  $F_{(n_1, k_1, \dots, n_p, k_p)}$  does not intersect  $A_p$ . The nonempty intersection of this sequence of sets is a subset of  $\bigcap_{k=j}^{\infty} T_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$  which does not intersect  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

For each  $k$ , let  $H_k = \bigcup T_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ , where the union is taken over all  $k$ -tuples of positive integers. Let  $H = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k$ . The set  $H$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  set.

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the space of all irrational numbers between 0 and 1. Identify the space of all infinite sequences of positive integers with the space via the continued fraction expansion of the members of the space  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $Z \in \mathcal{N}$  let  $[Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, \dots]$  denote the sequence of integers appearing in the continued fraction expansion of  $Z$ .

LEMMA 4. There is a Borel measurable function  $f$  from  $H$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$  such that if  $Z \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $f^{-1}(Z)$  is not a subset of any  $F_{\sigma}$  set of Lebesgue measure 0.

PROOF. For each  $x \in H$ , there is only one sequence of positive integers  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$ ; let  $f(x)$  be the irrational numbers in  $\mathcal{N}$  identified with this sequence. It follows from the preceding lemma that  $f$  maps  $H$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$  and if  $Z \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $f^{-1}(Z)$  is not a subset of an  $F_{\sigma}$  set of measure 0.

For each  $k$ -tuple  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ , let  $J_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)} = \{Z: Z_i = n_i, i=1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . The sets  $J_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$  form an open base for the usual topology on the space  $\mathcal{N}$ .

We have

$$f^{-1}(J_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}) = \bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{N}} \left( \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} T_{(n_1, \dots, n_k, Z_1, \dots, Z_p)} \right).$$

Thus,  $f^{-1}(J_{(n_1, \dots, n_k)})$  is an analytic set [5, p. 467]. It follows from Lusin's first separation theorem [5, p. 485] that  $f$  is Borel measurable (actually,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$  set for each open set  $U$ ).

We are now in a position to prove

**THEOREM 1.** *There is a Borel measurable function  $h$  from the unit interval  $I$  onto the Hilbert cube  $I^{\omega_0}$  such that if  $x \in I^{\omega_0}$ , then  $f^{-1}(x)$  is not a subset of an  $F_{\sigma}$  set of Lebesgue measure 0.*

PROOF. Let  $f$  be a function as described in Lemma 4. Let  $g$  be a continuous function from  $\mathcal{N}$  onto the Hilbert cube [5, p. 440]. The composition,  $g \circ f$ , maps  $H$  onto the Hilbert cube and is Borel measurable. Let  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots)$  be the sequence of the natural projections of  $g \circ f$ . For each  $p$ ,  $g_p$  is a Borel measurable function from  $H$  onto the interval  $I$  [5, p. 382]. For each  $p$ , let  $\tilde{g}_p$  be a Borel measurable extension  $g_p$  to all of  $I$  which maps into  $I$ . Let  $h = (\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3, \dots)$ . The function  $h$  has the required properties.

**THEOREM 2.** *There exists a transfinite sequence of "universal functions"  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{0 < \alpha < \omega_1}$  such that for each  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ , we have*

(1)  $U_{\alpha}$  is a Baire measurable function on  $I \times I$  which maps into the unit interval  $I$ ; and

(2) if  $f$  is a function in Baire's class  $\alpha$  which maps into  $I$ , then the set of all  $x$  such that  $U_{\alpha}(x, y) = f(y)$ , for every  $y$  in  $I$ , is not a subset of an  $F_{\sigma}$  set of Lebesgue measure zero.

The proof essentially follows the argument in [6, p. 133].

PROOF. Let  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable dense subset of the positive part of the unit ball of the Banach space  $C(I)$ .

Let

$$U_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} s_n(y), & \text{if } x = 1/n, \\ = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be easily verified that  $U_0$  is a Borel measurable function on  $I \times I$  and of course it maps into the interval  $I$ . Let  $h = (h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots)$  be a function from  $I$  onto the Hilbert cube having the properties described in Theorem 1.

For each ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ , let

$$U_{\alpha+1}(x, y) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} U_\alpha(h_p(x), y)$$

for each  $(x, y) \in I \times I$ ; also, if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, let  $\{\gamma_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of ordinals less than  $\alpha$  which converges to  $\alpha$  and let

$$U_\alpha(x, y) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} U_{\gamma_p}(h_p(x), y).$$

It may be proven by transfinite induction that the functions  $U_\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ , are Borel measurable and map into  $I$ .

The proof that the functions  $U_\alpha$  are "universal" and represent each appropriate function in Baire's class  $\alpha$  on a "large" set proceeds by transfinite induction.

First, suppose  $f$  is in Baire's class 1 and  $f$  maps  $I$  into  $I$ . Consequently, there is a sequence  $(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots)$  of positive integers such that the sequence  $\{s_{n_p}\}_{p=1}^\infty$  converges pointwise to  $f$  on  $I$ .

If  $x \in h^{-1}(1/n_1, 1/n_2, 1/n_3, \dots)$ , then

$$U_1(x, y) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} U_0(h_p(x), y) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_p}(y) = f(y),$$

for each  $y$  in  $I$ . Thus, the function  $U_1$  has the second required property.

Now, suppose  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, the functions  $U_\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \alpha$ , have the required properties and  $f$  is a function in Baire's class  $\alpha$  which maps  $I$  into  $I$ .

There is a sequence  $\{f_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$  of functions, converging pointwise to  $f$  on  $I$  such that for each  $p$ ,  $f_p$  is in Baire's class  $\gamma_p$  and  $f_p$  maps  $I$  into  $I$ .

For each  $p$ , let  $x_p$  be a number in  $I$  such that  $U_{\gamma_p}(x, y) = f_p(y)$ , for every  $y$  in  $I$ .

If  $x \in h^{-1}(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ , then  $U_\alpha(x, y) = f(y)$ , for each  $y$  in  $I$  and  $U_\alpha$  has the required properties.

A similar argument can be given for the remaining functions  $U_{\alpha+1}$ .

In order to prove that the Baire order of  $\Phi$  is  $\omega_1$ , we will employ a theorem which was published previously by the author:

**THEOREM 3 [7].** *If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal,  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ , then a function  $f$  is in  $\Phi_\alpha$  if and only if there is a function  $g$  in Baire's class  $\alpha$  such that the set  $D = \{x: f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is a subset of an  $F_\sigma$  set of measure zero.*

We will now prove

**THEOREM 4.** *The Baire order of  $\Phi$  is  $\omega_1$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal,  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ . Let  $U_\alpha$  be a universal function having the properties stated in Theorem 2. Let  $w(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - U_\alpha(x, x))^n$ . The function  $w$  is a Baire function which maps  $I$  into  $I$  and there is no  $x$  such that  $w(x) = U_\alpha(x, x)$ . Actually,  $w$  is the characteristic function of the set of all  $x$  such that  $U_\alpha(x, x) = 0$ .

Assume that  $w \in \Phi_\alpha$ . By Theorem 3, there is a function  $g$  in Baire's class  $\alpha$  such that the set  $D = \{x : w(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is a subset of an  $F_\sigma$  set  $K$  of Lebesgue measure 0. It is assumed here that  $g$  maps into  $I$  (this is no restriction). By Theorem 2, there is some  $x \in K'$  such that  $U_\alpha(x, y) = g(y)$  for all  $y$  in  $I$ . In particular,  $U_\alpha(x, x) = g(x) = w(x)$ , since  $x \in K'$ . This contradiction proves the theorem.

*Question.* If  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ , is there a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $R_\alpha$  of subsets of  $I$  of the first category which contains all the sets of Lebesgue measure 0 such that the family  $\Phi$  of all functions which are continuous except for a set in this  $\sigma$ -ideal  $R_\alpha$  has Baire order  $\alpha$ ? See [7], for some relationships between the classes  $\Phi_\alpha$  and the classical Baire functions of class  $\alpha$ .

**REMARK.** As mentioned in the first part of this paper the Baire order of the family of all real-valued functions on  $I$  which are continuous except for a first category set is 1. This fact together with the technique employed in this paper yield the following

**THEOREM.** *There does not exist a Borel measurable function  $h$  from the unit interval  $I$  onto the Hilbert cube  $I^{\omega_0}$  having the property that if  $x \in I^{\omega_0}$ , then  $h^{-1}(x)$  is not a subset of a first category set.*

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Lebesgue, *Sur les fonctions représentable analytiquement*, J. Math. (6) **1** (1905).
2. C. Kuratowski, *Sur les fonctions représentables analytiquement et les ensembles de première catégorie*, Fund. Math. **5** (1924), 75–86.
3. L. V. Kantorovitch, *Sur les suites des fonctions presque partout continues*, Fund. Math. **17** (1930), 25–28.
4. R. D. Mauldin, *On Borel measures and Baire's class 3*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (1973), 308–312.
5. K. Kuratowski, *Topologie*, Vol. I, PWN, Warsaw, 1958; English transl., Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warsaw, 1966. MR **19**, 873; **36** #840.
6. I. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, GITTL, Moscow, 1950; English transl. of 1st ed., vol. 1, Ungar, New York, 1955. MR **12**, 598; **16**, 804.
7. R. D. Mauldin,  *$\sigma$ -ideals and related Baire systems*, Fund. Math. **71** (1971), 171–177. MR **45** #2107.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32601