

Injectivity of the Dubins-Freedman construction of random distributions

Pieter C. Allaart and R. Daniel Mauldin

ABSTRACT. The construction of random distributions given by L. Dubins and D. Freedman [Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symposium Math. Statist. Probab., vol. 2 (1967), 183-214.] defines a mapping $\mu \mapsto P_\mu$, where μ is a probability measure (“base” measure) on the unit square $S = [0, 1]^2$, and P_μ is a probability measure on the space of all probability distributions on $[0, 1]$. Dubins and Freedman asked whether the mapping $h(\mu) = P_\mu$ is one-to-one when restricted to base measures supported on the interior of S , but not supported on the main diagonal of S . As an application of the individual ergodic theorem, we show that if h is restricted to base measures supported on a single (fixed) vertical fiber $\{a\} \times (0, 1)$ where $0 < a < 1$, then h is in fact a completely orthogonal transition kernel (and in particular, h is one-to-one). We show further that, if μ and ν are base measures supported on distinct fibers $\{a\} \times (0, 1)$ and $\{b\} \times (0, 1)$, respectively, then $P_\mu \neq P_\nu$ unless μ and ν give all their mass to the main diagonal.

1. Introduction

We recall the process given by Dubins and Freedman [DF] for generating a random probability measure or distribution function supported on $[0, 1]$, the unit interval. Let $\Delta = \text{Prob}([0, 1])$ be the space of all probability measures defined on the Borel subsets of $[0, 1]$, provided with the vague or weak* topology. Thus, Δ is a compact metrizable space whose topology is generated for instance by the Lévy-Prohorov metric.

Let $\mu \in \text{Prob}(S)$, where $S = [0, 1]^2$, and assume μ gives zero mass to the points $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. Following Dubins and Freedman we may consider μ as a “base” measure which induces a probability measure P_μ on Δ via the following recursive procedure. Set $(x(0), y(0)) = (0, 0)$ and $(x(1), y(1)) = (1, 1)$. Choose a point $(x(1/2), y(1/2))$ according to μ . Let $t_{n,i} := i/2^n$. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and points $(x(t_{n,i}), y(t_{n,i}))$ have been defined for $i = 0, \dots, 2^n$ such that the functions x and y are nondecreasing. For $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$, choose points $p(i)$ from S according to μ , independently of each other and of points chosen at previous stages. Then for

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G57; Secondary 60B05.

Key words and phrases. Random distributions, Completely orthogonal transition kernel.

The second author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 0700831.

$i = 1, \dots, 2^n$, construct a point $(x(t_{n+1,2i-1}), y(t_{n+1,2i-1}))$ by scaling $p(i)$ into the rectangle $[x(t_{n,i-1}), x(t_{n,i})] \times [y(t_{n,i-1}), y(t_{n,i})]$ via the affine map of S defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (s, t) \mapsto ((1-s)x(t_{n,i-1}) + sx(t_{n,i}), (1-t)y(t_{n,i-1}) + ty(t_{n,i})).$$

With probability one this procedure defines a map $x(t_{n,i}) \mapsto y(t_{n,i})$ which uniquely extends to a distribution function on $[0, 1]$. In this manner, μ defines a probability measure P_μ on Δ . (We give a more precise description of P_μ in the next section.) Note that if μ is supported on the main diagonal $\{(t, t) : 0 < t < 1\}$, then $P_\mu = \delta_m$, where m is Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. Dubins and Freedman have asked the following.

QUESTION 1.1. (Dubins-Freedman). Is the map $h(\mu) = P_\mu$ injective on the set of all μ supported on $(0, 1)^2$ but not supported on the main diagonal of S ?

In their paper [DF, Theorem 8.1], Dubins and Freedman proved the following: For $0 < a < 1$, let \mathcal{P}_a denote the set of all probability measures supported on the open vertical fiber $\{a\} \times (0, 1)$. If μ and ν are distinct members of \mathcal{P}_a , then P_μ and P_ν are strictly singular with respect to each other. That is, there exist Borel measurable subsets C and D of Δ such that $P_\mu(C) = P_\nu(D) = 1$, and for every $F \in C$ and every $G \in D$ and for every $x \in [0, 1]$, the ratio

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{G(x+h) - G(x)}$$

fails to converge to a positive and finite limit as $h \rightarrow 0$.

In this note we shall prove two related results. First, we show in Section 3 that if one fixes $0 < a < 1$, then the map h restricted to \mathcal{P}_a is not only 1-1, but defines a *completely orthogonal transition kernel* in the sense of [MPW]. This means there is a Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{P}_a \times \Delta$ such that for each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_a$, $P_\mu(B_\mu) = 1$ and if μ and ν are distinct elements of \mathcal{P}_a , then B_μ and B_ν are disjoint. (Here, $B_\mu := \{F \in \Delta : (\mu, F) \in B\}$.) So, the set B has disjoint “vertical” fibers. We note that this is equivalent to saying that the kernel $\mu \mapsto P_\mu$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_a$ has a Borel measurable *perfect statistic* in the sense of Blackwell [B], see [MPW] and [vW].

Next, we show in Section 4 that if μ and ν are base measures supported on distinct vertical fibers $\{a\} \times (0, 1)$ and $\{b\} \times (0, 1)$ where $a \neq b$ but μ and ν are not supported on the main diagonal, then $P_\mu \neq P_\nu$. We do not know if P_μ and P_ν are mutually singular for such measures μ and ν .

2. Precise definition of the measure P_μ

We need the following notation. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $D_n = \{t_{n,j} : j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n\}$ denote the set of dyadic rationals of level n or lower in $[0, 1]$. Let $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$, and $\tilde{D} = D \cap (0, 1)$. Also, define the coding map $\theta : S^{\tilde{D}} \mapsto S^D$ as follows. Express each $z \in S^{\tilde{D}}$ as $z = (f, g)$ where $f, g \in [0, 1]^{\tilde{D}}$. Define $\theta(z)$ recursively by

- (1) $\theta(z)(0) = (0, 0)$ and $\theta(z)(1) = (1, 1)$
- (2) If $\theta(z)|_{D_n}$ has been defined, so that $\theta(z)(t_{n,i}) = (x(z)(t_{n,i}), y(z)(t_{n,i}))$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$, define $\theta(z)|_{D_{n+1} \setminus D_n}$ as follows: For $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$, let $\theta(z)(t_{n+1,2i-1}) = (x(z)(t_{n+1,2i-1}), y(z)(t_{n+1,2i-1}))$ be the image of the point $(f(t_{n+1,2i-1}), g(t_{n+1,2i-1}))$ under the map (1.1), where $x = x(z)$ and $y = y(z)$.

We note that θ is a $1 - 1$ map into $[0, 1]^D \times [0, 1]^D$ and θ is continuous. The point $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^D \times [0, 1]^D$ belongs to the image of θ if and only if $x(0) = y(0) = 0$, $x(1) = y(1) = 1$, and the functions x and y are nondecreasing on D . Let \mathcal{Z} be the set of all $z \in S^{\bar{D}}$ such that there is a unique probability distribution function on $[0, 1]$ whose closed graph contains $\theta(z)$ as a subgraph. We will denote this distribution function by $M(z)$. This makes M a continuous map from \mathcal{Z} into Δ . Note, however, that M is not $1 - 1$.

Now let $\mu \in \text{Prob}(S)$ such that μ gives zero mass to the points $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. Dubins and Freedman showed that $\mu^{\bar{D}}(\mathcal{Z}) = 1$, where $\mu^{\bar{D}}$ is product measure on $S^{\bar{D}}$ induced by μ . Following Dubins and Freedman, we now define the measure P_μ on Δ by

$$P_\mu = \mu^{\bar{D}} \circ M^{-1}.$$

Note that P_μ can be viewed alternatively as the unique fixed point of an amalgamation operator, or as the limit of a recursively constructed sequence of measures; see [GMW, pp. 258-273].

3. Base measures supported on the same vertical fiber

THEOREM 3.1. *The restriction of h to \mathcal{P}_a defines a completely orthogonal transition kernel. That is, there is a Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{P}_a \times \Delta$ such that for each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_a$, $P_\mu(B_\mu) = 1$ and if μ and ν are distinct elements of \mathcal{P}_a , then $B_\mu \cap B_\nu = \emptyset$. In particular, the restriction of h to \mathcal{P}_a is $1 - 1$.*

PROOF. Since a is fixed and the measures in \mathcal{P}_a do not give positive mass to the boundary of S , we may view M as a map from $(0, 1)^{\bar{D}}$ into Δ . When regarded in this manner, M is plainly $1 - 1$. (Note that \mathcal{Z} contains the set $(\{a\} \times (0, 1))^{\bar{D}}$.)

Now that the map $\mu \mapsto \mu^{\bar{D}}$ defines a completely orthogonal transition kernel follows from Birkhoff's ergodic theorem as follows. Observe that $[0, 1]^{\bar{D}}$, being a compact metric space, is separable. Fix a countable base $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for the topology of $[0, 1]^{\bar{D}}$ which is closed under finite unions. Assign an arbitrary order to \bar{D} , and let σ denote the corresponding shift map on $[0, 1]^{\bar{D}}$. For each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_a$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$E_{\mu,n} = \left\{ x \in [0, 1]^{\bar{D}} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \text{card}\{i \leq k \mid \sigma^i(x) \in U_n\} = \mu^{\bar{D}}(U_n) \right\},$$

and let

$$E_\mu = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty E_{\mu,n}.$$

Since the product measures are ergodic under σ , we have $\mu^{\bar{D}}(E_\mu) = 1$ for each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_a$, and if μ and ν are distinct elements of \mathcal{P}_a , then $E_\mu \cap E_\nu = \emptyset$. For, if $z \in E_\mu \cap E_\nu$, then $\mu^{\bar{D}}(U_n) = \nu^{\bar{D}}(U_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $\mu^{\bar{D}}$ and $\nu^{\bar{D}}$ agree on all open sets. But then, being Borel measures on a metric space, they must agree on all of $[0, 1]^{\bar{D}}$, and in particular, $\mu = \nu$.

Finally, set $B = (id \times M)(E)$, where $E = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_a} \{\mu\} \times (E_\mu \cap \mathcal{Z})$. In other words, $(\mu, F) \in B$ if and only if $F = M(z)$ for a $z \in E_\mu$. Since M is $1 - 1$, B is a Borel subset of $\mathcal{P}_a \times \Delta$ with the required properties. \square

Note that the fact that M was $1 - 1$ was crucial in the above proof. Thus, it is unclear how to extend our method to more general base measures on the square, or even to base measures on the *closed* vertical fiber $\{a\} \times [0, 1]$.

QUESTION 3.2. Does the map h define a completely orthogonal transition kernel on the set of *all* base measures supported on the interior of S ?

4. Base measures supported on different vertical fibers

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume $0 < a < b < 1$, and let μ and ν be base measures supported on $\{a\} \times [0, 1]$ and $\{b\} \times [0, 1]$, respectively. Then $P_\mu = P_\nu$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\mu = \delta_{(a,0)}$ and $\nu = \delta_{(b,0)}$;
- (ii) $\mu = \delta_{(a,1)}$ and $\nu = \delta_{(b,1)}$;
- (iii) $\mu = \delta_{(a,a)}$ and $\nu = \delta_{(b,b)}$;
- (iv) $\mu = (1-a)\delta_{(a,0)} + a\delta_{(a,1)}$ and $\nu = (1-b)\delta_{(b,0)} + b\delta_{(b,1)}$.

Theorem 4.1 shows that base measures supported on distinct vertical fibers induce different probability measures on Δ except in a few degenerate cases: In case (i), $F = \delta_{\{1\}}$ a.s.; in case (ii), $F = \delta_{\{0\}}$ a.s.; in case (iii), F is the uniform distribution with probability one; and finally, in case (iv), $F = \delta_{\{\xi\}}$ where ξ is a random point having a uniform distribution on $(0, 1)$. It follows in particular that, if μ and ν are supported on distinct vertical fibers, give no mass to the horizontal edges of S , and do not give all their mass to the main diagonal of S , then $P_\mu \neq P_\nu$.

CONJECTURE 4.2. If none of (i)-(iv) are satisfied, then P_μ and P_ν are mutually singular.

For a base measure μ , let $\bar{\mu}$ denote the reflection of μ in the main diagonal of S . Since the distribution of F under P_μ is the same as the distribution of F^{-1} under $P_{\bar{\mu}}$, we have the following immediate consequence:

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let μ and ν be base measures supported on distinct horizontal fibers $[0, 1] \times \{a\}$ and $[0, 1] \times \{b\}$ respectively, where $0 < a < b < 1$. Then $P_\mu = P_\nu$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\mu = \delta_{(0,a)}$ and $\nu = \delta_{(0,b)}$;
- (ii) $\mu = \delta_{(1,a)}$ and $\nu = \delta_{(1,b)}$;
- (iii) $\mu = \delta_{(a,a)}$ and $\nu = \delta_{(b,b)}$;
- (iv) $\mu = (1-a)\delta_{(0,a)} + a\delta_{(1,a)}$ and $\nu = (1-b)\delta_{(0,b)} + b\delta_{(1,b)}$.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 uses two lemmas, and the following special functions: For $0 < r < 1$, let $Q_r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the function Q such that

$$(4.1) \quad Q(x) = \begin{cases} rQ(2x), & x \leq 1/2, \\ r + (1-r)Q(2x-1), & x \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

This function Q is unique; it is continuous and strictly increasing, and $Q(0) = 0$ and $Q(1) = 1$. Now for $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < w < 1$, define $S_{w,r} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$S_{w,r}(x) = Q_w(Q_r^{-1}(x)).$$

The functions $S_{w,r}$ were studied in detail by Dubins and Savage [DS]. In particular, they proved (in Theorem 2 on p. 118) that

$$(4.2) \quad S_{w,r} = S_{w',r'} \quad \text{iff } w = r \text{ and } w' = r', \text{ or } w = w' \text{ and } r = r'.$$

LEMMA 4.4. *Let w and z be real numbers in $(1, 2)$. The function*

$$f(t) = 1 - 2t + t^w - (1 - t)^z$$

has a unique zero in $(0, 1)$.

PROOF. Note that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, and, since $f'(t) = wt^{w-1} + z(1-t)^{z-1} - 2$, $f'(0) = f'(1) = -1$. Thus, f has at least one zero in $(0, 1)$. On the other hand,

$$f'''(t) = w(w-1)(w-2)t^{w-3} + z(z-1)(z-2)(1-t)^{z-3} < 0, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Thus, by Rolle's theorem, f has at most three zeros in $[0, 1]$. Since $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, the lemma follows. \square

LEMMA 4.5. *Suppose $0 < r < 1$, let $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a nondecreasing function, and L a nonnegative extended real number. Then*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\log g(t)}{\log t} = L \quad \text{iff} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g(r^n)}{\log(r^n)} = L.$$

PROOF. Straightforward. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. It is easy to check that $P_\mu = P_\nu$ in each of the cases (i)-(iv). Suppose therefore that $P_\mu = P_\nu$. Let \mathcal{E}_μ and \mathcal{E}_ν denote expectation operators with respect to P_μ and P_ν , respectively. Write $\mu = \delta_{\{a\}} \times \tilde{\mu}$ and $\nu = \delta_{\{b\}} \times \tilde{\nu}$, and define

$$v := m(\mu) := \mathcal{E}_\mu[F(a)] = \int_{[0,1]} y d\tilde{\mu}(y)$$

and

$$w := m(\nu) := \mathcal{E}_\nu[F(b)] = \int_{[0,1]} y d\tilde{\nu}(y).$$

If $v = 0$, then $F = \delta_{\{1\}}$ P_μ -a.s., so $F = \delta_{\{1\}}$ P_ν -a.s. and hence $w = 0$ as well, and we are in case (i). Similarly, if $v = 1$ then $w = 1$ and we are in case (ii). Assume then that $0 < v < 1$ and $0 < w < 1$. Consider the average distribution functions

$$(4.3) \quad F_\mu(x) = \int_{\Delta} G(x) dP_\mu(G) \quad \text{and} \quad F_\nu(x) = \int_{\Delta} G(x) dP_\nu(G).$$

By Theorem 9.17 of Dubins and Freedman [DF], $F_\mu = S_{v,a}$ and $F_\nu = S_{w,b}$. Since $P_\mu = P_\nu$ and $a \neq b$, (4.2) therefore implies that $m(\mu) = v = a$ and $m(\nu) = w = b$. Thus, μ and ν each have their barycenter on the main diagonal.

Next, it follows from the work of Dubins and Freedman [DF, Section 4] and the specific nature of the supports of the base measures considered here, that for each fixed $x \in (0, 1)$, F is continuous at x with probability one. Thus, given that a point (x, y) lies on the closed graph of F , $F(x) = y$ with probability one. We now complete the proof of the theorem by considering the second moment of $F(x)$. Let

$$m_2(\mu) := \mathcal{E}_\mu[F(a)^2] = \int_{[0,1]} y^2 d\tilde{\mu}(y)$$

and

$$m_2(\nu) := \mathcal{E}_\nu[F(b)^2] = \int_{[0,1]} y^2 d\tilde{\nu}(y).$$

Define functions $g_0(x) = \mathcal{E}_\mu[F(x)^2]$, and $g_1(x) = \mathcal{E}_\mu[\{1 - F(1 - x)\}^2]$. Note that under P_μ , $F(a^n)$ is distributed as the product of n independent copies of $F(a)$, so $g_0(a^n) = (g_0(a))^n$. We conclude by Lemma 4.5 that

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\log g_0(t)}{\log t} = \frac{\log g_0(a)}{\log a} = \frac{\log m_2(\mu)}{\log a}.$$

Similarly, $1 - F(1 - (1 - a)^n)$ is distributed as the product of n independent copies of $1 - F(a)$, so $g_1((1 - a)^n) = (g_1(1 - a))^n$, and Lemma 4.5 implies

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\log g_1(t)}{\log t} = \frac{\log g_1(1 - a)}{\log(1 - a)} = \frac{\log(1 - 2a + m_2(\mu))}{\log(1 - a)},$$

where we have used that $m(\mu) = a$. Let w denote the limit in (4.4) and z the limit in (4.5). Then $m_2(\mu) = a^w$ and $1 - 2a + m_2(\mu) = (1 - a)^z$, so a satisfies the equation $1 - 2a + a^w = (1 - a)^z$. Furthermore, an examination of the smallest and largest possible variance of a distribution on $[0, 1]$ reveals that $1 \leq w, z \leq 2$. Since $P_\mu = P_\nu$, applying the same argument with ν and b replacing μ and a shows that similarly, $1 - 2b + b^w = (1 - b)^z$. Since $a \neq b$, Lemma 4.4 implies that this can happen only if (a) $w = z = 1$, or (b) $w = z = 2$. But in case (a) we have $m_2(\mu) = a = m(\mu)$, so $\tilde{\mu}$ must be point mass at a and we have condition (iii); whereas in case (b) we have $m_2(\mu) = a^2 = m(\mu)^2$, so $\tilde{\mu}$ has maximum variance given its mean and we have condition (iv). \square

References

- [B] D. Blackwell, *There are no Borel SPLIFs*. Ann. Probab. 8 (1980), 1189-1190.
- [DF] L. E. Dubins and D. A. Freedman, *Random distribution functions*. Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symposium Math. Statist. Probab., vol. 2 (1967), 183-214.
- [DS] L. E. Dubins and L. J. Savage (1965). *How to gamble if you must. Inequalities for stochastic processes*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [GMW] S. Graf, R. D. Mauldin and S. C. Williams, *Random homeomorphisms*. Adv. Math. 60 (1986), no. 3, 239-359.
- [MPW] R. D. Mauldin, D. Preiss and H. v. Weizsäcker, *Orthogonal transition kernels*. Ann. Probab. 11 (1983), no. 4, 970-988.
- [vW] H. v. Weizsäcker, *Some reflections on and experiences with SPLIFs*. Statistics, probability and game theory, 391-399, IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser., 30, Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA, 1996.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS, P.O. BOX 311430, DENTON, TX 76203-1430

E-mail address: `allaart@unt.edu`, `mauldin@unt.edu`